



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/925,117	08/09/2001	Robert Briggs Phillips	VTN-0549	2698
27777	7590 12/01/2004		EXAM	INER
PHILIP S. JOHNSON			PICKETT, JOHN G	
JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			3728	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Astless Os	09/925,117	PHILLIPS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
,	Gregory Pickett	3728
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a not reply within the statutory minimum of thirt riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	5 October 2004.	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ 1	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		, <del>N</del>
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•	<i>t</i>
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 January 2003</u> is/		bjected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docum</li> </ol>	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)	<del></del> -	(DTA 11-
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
2) ☐ Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB	(/08) 5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	encours*

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5 October 2004 has been entered.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1-7 and 10-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al (US 6,082,533) in view of Ingemann (US 4,529,100).

Regarding claim 1, Smith et al discloses (Figures 5 and 6) a disposable contact lens package (300) with a raised seal volume (310), base (312), top surface (371), perimeter top surface (370), and recessed well (314). Package (300) is sealed with lidstock (Col. 3, II. 4-9). Smith et al discloses raised surface (310) as arcuate in shape to prevent the collection of aqueous fluid on its surface (Col. 3, II. 9-12). Smith et al discloses the claimed invention except for two linear sides intersecting the horizontal plane at angles having values from 125 to 170 degrees.

Application/Control Number: 09/925,117

Art Unit: 3728

Ingemann discloses that is was known in the art at the time the invention was made to use a raised seal volume with linear sides for the provision of a weld point for a heat sealing operation. One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the linear sides would have performed the same function of moving fluids from the surface of the raised surface. Further, based on the elementary geometric principal that the shortest distance between two points is a straight line (which would have been within the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art), one of ordinary skill would have recognized that the linear sides would move the fluid away from the top more quickly than the curved sides of Smith et al. Therefore, because these two geometric configurations were art recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute linear sides of Ingemann for arcuate sides of Smith et al in order to increase the fluid-moving speed of the configuration.

As to the angles having values from 125 to 170 degrees, Ingemann discloses the claimed angles (see Figure 1a).

As to claims 2, 3, 5-7, and 10-17, the package of Smith-Ingemann, as applied to claim 1 above discloses the claimed invention except for the specific dimensions claimed by the applicant. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the package of Smith-Ingemann with dimensions as claimed by the applicant, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Application/Control Number: 09/925,117

Art Unit: 3728

As to claim 4, Smith-Ingemann discloses raised seal volume (310) located 0.2 mm from the perimeter of the well (Smith, Col. 2, II. 66-67).

As to claims 18 and 22, Smith-Ingemann discloses rounded surfaces (Smith 360) at the peak of the raised seal volume.

As to claims 19-21, the package of Smith-Ingemann, as applied to claims 1, 17, and 18 above discloses the claimed inventions except for the specific radii claimed by the applicant. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the package of Smith-Ingemann with radii as claimed by the applicant, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

4. Claims 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith-Ingemann as applied to claims 1 and 6 above, and further in view of Lust et al (5,704,468) or Abrams et al (US 5,467,868).

The package of Smith-Ingemann, as applied to claims 1 and 6 above discloses the claimed inventions except for the specific distances claimed by the applicant. Both Lust et al (Figures 4, 8, and 13) and Abrams et al (Figure 3) disclose that it was known in the art at the time the invention was made to place the raised seal volume at a distance from the perimeter of the well. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the package of Smith-Ingemann with distances as claimed by the applicant, since it has been held that

Application/Control Number: 09/925,117

Art Unit: 3728

discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

### Response to Arguments >

5. Applicant's arguments, see page 7, filed 5 October 2004, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1-7 and 10-22 under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of newly found prior art Ingemann (US 4,529,100).

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sugiyama et al discloses a raised volume for a weld joint in a heat-sealing operation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory Pickett whose telephone number is 571-272-4560. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/925,117 Page 6

Art Unit: 3728

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Greg Pickett Examiner

24 November 2004

Mickey Yu Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700